This paper from the Berkeley BRASS group was all about performance: Is it possible to design an FPGA architecture that can compete with processors and ASICs in terms of clock frequency? FPGAs were (and still are) running at 5x–10x slower clock frequency, largely due to the effect of configurability on both logic and interconnect delay. Von Herzen’s [1997] paper provided a sense of what was possible by demonstrating a real application running on a Xilinx 3100 part at 250MHz, at least 5x better than most carefully designed circuits. HSRA was an attempt to realize this potential via a combination of architectural and CAD tool innovations.

The overall methodology was to design an architecture to a specific clock frequency, something that went against the whole philosophy of FPGAs. However, by doing this the authors were able to precisely define the number of logic levels and the interconnect distance traveled in a clock cycle. This led to a highly pipelined architecture, with the configurable interconnect being pipelined as well.

Perhaps the most novel aspect of the HSRA architecture was its hierarchical interconnect structure based on the fat tree. This allowed the wires to be point-to-point and thus registered at clock cycle boundaries. It also allowed the “hop” distance between any two points to be known exactly, something required to make the solution of the place-and-route problem feasible from a timing standpoint.

Of course not all applications can be pipelined to death as required by the HSRA architecture. To address this issue, the paper proposes using C-slowing to introduce extra parallelism in the circuit to cover the latency induced by circuits with large feedback loops. (This is similar to the fine-grained multi-threading approach for hiding memory latency in the Tera MTA processor.)

For a follow-up on ideas for achieving deep pipelining in FPGAs, see the 2003 Berkeley Ph.D. thesis by Nicholas Weaver.

In summary, the HSRA paper broke new ground in FPGA architectures by aggressively pushing the envelope in one dimension, timing. While many of the ideas in this paper have had impact on FPGA design, the HSRA architecture itself was too radically different from traditional FPGAs to have had much of an effect on commercial FPGAs.
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