

This work added timing driven routing with accurate delay estimations to VPR, which allowed it to be used to explore FPGA interconnection network architectures. Roughly 90% of the area of FPGAs goes to the configurable routing, and as much as 80% of the critical path delay of typical circuits goes to routing delay. Getting the interconnection network right is therefore crucial to producing an FPGA with good cost and performance. This is especially true as FPGAs scale up since, according to Rent's Rule, the amount of wire must grow faster than the amount of logic.

Unfortunately, architects are often forced to make decisions based on intuition and past experience instead of hard data based on benchmarking and analysis. CAD tools are typically tuned to a single architecture, and changing that architecture can break the tools or at least reduce their effectiveness for evaluating alternative architectures. Moreover, measuring the effect of the interconnect on performance requires timing-driven versions of synthesis, placement and routing.

This paper expands VPR by adding a timing-driven routing algorithm that included an Elmore delay model for accurate delay estimation and describes a methodology for evaluating FPGA routing architectures using VPR. VPR is University of Toronto's architecture-independent set of FPGA CAD tools that included synthesis, placement and routing. These tools allowed the architect to define a new architecture (within limits) via an architecture description language, and the tools automatically adapted to this architecture.

This study started by assuming a traditional island-style FPGA architecture and then tried to determine the best way to segment the wires in the architecture and the best way to connect those segments using either pass transistors and tri-state buffers. Using VPR, the authors were able to automatically explore a large portion of the parameter space using a set of standard benchmarks to find the best values for combinations of these routing parameters.

In the end, however, it was the methodology of the paper and the tools that were used that had the most impact. Altera's Stratix architecture, introduced just a few years later, was designed using a similar methodology and a toolset based on VPR, as described in the 2003 Stratix paper [see Lewis et. al. 2003]. It is interesting to note that that paper concluded that it was best to use direct-drive mux-buffers, an alternative not included in this study. This goes to show that innovation requires both thinking outside the box as well as the tools to evaluate new ideas.

Carl Ebeling